How could understanding Neuro-Developmental, Psychiatric and Addiction Disorders as Neuro-Immune, Life-Span, Multi-System Conditions improve Public Policymaking?

Yes, **service gaps** can make these systems **easy political targets**, especially when care is fragmented and outcomes look poor.

The question has three linked parts: whether a **science-practice gap** exists, how it makes systems politically vulnerable, and what limits that argument.

Service Vulnerability

These disorders are increasingly understood as **lifelong, overlapping conditions** rather than isolated childhood or single-organ problems, and that makes rigid service silos a poor fit for real need (Gajwani & Minnis, 2022; Antolini & Colizzi, 2023; Thapar et al., 2016). Neurodevelopmental conditions also overlap strongly with other psychiatric conditions, and transdiagnostic frameworks appear to capture needs better than categorical diagnoses alone (Michelini et al., 2024). In addiction care, co-occurring neurodevelopmental, mental, and physical health conditions are described as the norm, and treating one problem as "primary" can exclude people from services (Lingford-Hughes, 2025).

- **Fragmented systems** are easier to criticize because they split care across diagnoses, ages, and agencies (Antolini & Colizzi, 2023; Lingford-Hughes, 2025).
- When **multimorbidity is common**, single-disorder funding and planning look visibly inadequate (Thapar et al., 2016; Rodriguez & Willis, 2025).
- Poor adult continuity leaves services exposed during **transition periods** from child to adult care (Antolini & Colizzi, 2023).

Why Politics Exploits It

Mental, neurological, and substance use disorders impose a very large burden, yet spending remains far below need in many settings (Patel et al., 2016). Effective interventions exist, including school-based, community, primary-care, and hospital channels, but scale-up depends on governance, workforce, and financing choices (Patel et al., 2016). When systems underdeliver despite available interventions, they become convenient targets for blame, austerity, or symbolic reform.

- **Underfunded services** invite political attacks because unmet need is visible and chronic (Patel et al., 2016).
- Addiction policy is especially vulnerable because stigma and **criminalization** still shape responses despite evidence for chronic-care treatment models (Volkow & Blanco, 2023).
- Scientific constructs can be **administratively misused**, especially by politicians or managers oversimplifying complex care needs .

What Better Policy Would Require

A neuro-immune, life-span, multi-system view shifts policy from narrow specialty silos toward prevention, integrated care, and long-horizon planning. Early-life adversity, maternal inflammatory states, and environmental risk exposures are linked to later neurodevelopmental and psychiatric outcomes, so prevention starting in pregnancy and early childhood appears especially important (Han et al., 2021; Scattolin et al., 2021). These disorders also carry later-life neurological, vascular, psychiatric, substance-use, and neurodegenerative comorbidity, so policy cannot stop at pediatric diagnosis (Rodriguez & Willis, 2025).

- **Integrated primary care** and task-shifted models appear practical for complex chronic conditions, especially in resource-constrained settings (Adler et al., 2023).
- **System dynamics models** are promising because they can represent feedback loops across social, behavioral, and health factors (Valkenburg et al., 2026).
- Better policy also needs **longitudinal data** and controlled trials, because some neuroimmune claims remain preliminary or mixed (Gagliano et al., 2025; Sian-Hulsmann et al., 2026).

Yes, the science-practice gap can make systems serving neurodevelopmental, psychiatric, and addiction disorders convenient political targets. The literature suggests that the main reason is not just weak science, but the mismatch between **complex life-span conditions** and **simplistic service architectures**.

References

Adler, A., Drown, L., Boudreaux, C., Coates, M., Marx, A., Akala, O., Waqanivalu, T., Xu, H., & Bukhman, G. (2023). Understanding integrated service delivery: a scoping review of models for noncommunicable disease and mental health interventions in low-and-middle income countries. *BMC Health Services Research, 23*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-023-09072-9

Antolini, G., & Colizzi, M. (2023). Where Do Neurodevelopmental Disorders Go? Casting the Eye Away from Childhood towards Adulthood. *Healthcare, 11*. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11071015

Gagliano, A., Cucinotta, F., Giunta, I., Di Modica, I., De Domenico, C., Costanza, C., Germanò, E., & Frankovich, J. (2025). The Immune/Inflammatory Underpinnings of Neurodevelopmental Disorders and Pediatric Acute-Onset Neuropsychiatric Syndrome: A Scoping Review. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 26*. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms26167767

Gajwani, R., & Minnis, H. (2022). Double jeopardy: implications of neurodevelopmental conditions and adverse childhood experiences for child health. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 32*, 1 - 4. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-022-02081-9

Han, V., Patel, S., Jones, H., Nielsen, T. C., Mohammad, S., Hofer, M., Gold, W., Brilot, F., Lain, S., Nassar, N., & Dale, R. (2021). Maternal acute and chronic inflammation in pregnancy is associated with common neurodevelopmental disorders: a systematic review. *Translational Psychiatry, 11*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-021-01198-w

Lingford-Hughes, A. (2025). Discussion on the case from neurodevelopmental and transdiagnostic perspectives. *European Psychiatry, 68*, S67 - S67. https://doi.org/10.1192/j.eurpsy.2025.201

Michelini, G., Carlisi, C., Eaton, N. R., Elison, J., Haltigan, J., Kotov, R., Krueger, R. F., Latzman, R. D., Li, J. J., Levin-Aspenson, H., Salum, G. A., South, S. C., Stanton, K., Waldman, I., & Wilson, S. (2024). Where do neurodevelopmental conditions fit in transdiagnostic psychiatric frameworks? Incorporating a new neurodevelopmental spectrum. *World Psychiatry, 23*. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.21225

Patel, V., Chisholm, D., Parikh, R., Charlson, F., Degenhardt, L., Dua, T., Ferrari, A., Hyman, S., Laxminarayan, R., Levin, C., Lund, C., Mora, M. M. M., Petersen, I., Scott, J. G., Shidhaye, R., Vijayakumar, L., Thornicroft, G., & Whiteford, H. (2016). Addressing the burden of mental, neurological, and substance use disorders: key messages from Disease Control Priorities, 3rd edition.. *Lancet, 387 10028*, 1672-85. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(15)00390-6

Rodriguez, B., & Willis, A. W. (2025). Comorbidity Risk Assessment in Medicare Beneficiaries with Neurodevelopmental Disorders. *Innovation in Aging, 9*. https://doi.org/10.1093/geroni/igaf122.3735

Scattolin, M., Resegue, R., & Rosário, C. D. M. (2021). The impact of the environment on neurodevelopmental disorders in early childhood. *Jornal de Pediatria, 98*, S66 - S72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jped.2021.11.002

Sian-Hulsmann, J., Knudsen, L. V., Sheldrick-Michel, A. J., Riederer, P., & Michel, T. M. (2026). The lifespan continuum of brain disorders: investigating links between neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disease-chicken or egg?. *Journal of neural transmission*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-025-03078-9

Thapar, A., Cooper, M., & Rutter, M. (2016). Neurodevelopmental disorders.. *The lancet. Psychiatry, 4 4*, 339-346. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366(16)30376-5

Valkenburg, H. J., Dam, J. T., Van Der Werf, S., Feenstra, T. L., Rodenburg, J., & Wijnen, B. F. M. (2026). System dynamics modelling methods for public health policy evaluation: a systematic literature review focussing on mental health and substance use disorders. *BMC Public Health, 26*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-026-26444-y

Volkow, N., & Blanco, C. (2023). Substance use disorders: a comprehensive update of classification, epidemiology, neurobiology, clinical aspects, treatment and prevention. *World Psychiatry, 22*. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.21073